

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,569	09/27/2001	Andreas Polz	A34646 (071308.0240)	3075
31625 75	590 08/30/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			PHAM, THOMAS K	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2121	
	DATE MAILED: 08/		DATE MAILED: 08/30/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/965,569	POLZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas K. Pham	2121		

Advisory Action	09/965,569	POLZ ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Thomas K. Pham	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV	vo			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension fee under 3' final Office action; or (2) as set forth in in, even if timely filed, may reduce any	7 n (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the appea	ate II.			
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal, and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	corresponding number of finally re	-	for			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324	ł).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate		_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an explanation	of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-12 and 15-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provid				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance becaus	e:			
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:		- 2-1				
	Anthor	y Knight				
	Supervisory F	Patent Examiner in 3600				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed limitations: "the data processing device identifies all automation components with which communication has been established" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.